



# CROSS CLAIM APPROACH

**Green Star - Communities and Green Star - Design & As Built**

Issued 09/2016

## PURPOSE

The purpose of this document is to outline the Cross Claim approach for buildings located within the boundary of a *Green Star – Communities* project seeking to be assessed under the *Green Star – Design & As Built* rating tool.

## INTRODUCTION

This Cross Claim approach has been developed for project teams given that there are commonalities in the sustainability attributes that have been certified at a site wide level that are applicable at a building level. Where these commonalities apply the Cross Claim approach is intended to help streamline the buildings' *Green Star – Design & As Built* certification.

The results of the *Green Star – Communities* assessment are applicable to all buildings located within the boundary of the *Green Star – Communities* project.

## RATING TOOL VERSIONS

It should be noted that the appendix to this document has been written making reference to the *Green Star – Communities v1.1* Submission Guidelines and the *Green Star – Design & As Built v1.1* Submission Guidelines. Due to the nature of minor rating tool releases this approach is intended for use until such time as either rating tool undergoes a major rating tool release resulting in a v2.0 of either rating tool. If, at the time of the major release, there are remaining projects still to be registered for a *Green Star – Design & As Built* rating the content of the document will need to be reviewed and updated as appropriate.

## APPROACH

**Detailed below are the stages required for the development of the Cross Claim approach for a project.**

### **Stage 1: Registration**

Registration for Green Star – Communities and at least 1 Green Star – Design & As Built project

### **Stage 2: Cross Claim Workshop**

This workshop will define which Green Star – Communities credits or criterion can have an element(s) that are applicable at a building scale. The key at this point is to try and find commonalities, not exclusions. It is important to understand that the process or design feature does not need to be applied to every individual building project to be considered a Credit or Credit Criterion that could be cross claimed.

### **Stage 3: Defining Documentation Requirements**

For each of the Cross Claim Credits that will be applied at the Individual Green Star – Design & As Built Project Assessment stage, in lieu of full documentation requirements supporting a credit, documentation will need to be established and formalised. This will ensure that the outcomes approved at the Green Star – Communities project scale are realised at the individual building project stage.

The outcome of this process will be:

- A *Cross Claim Certification Technical Amendment* document. This document clearly outlines which credits have been identified as 'Cross Claim' credits and what will be required for submission for the individual Green Star – Design & As Built Project Assessments (Design Review and As Built). and
- A Cross Claim Certification Scorecard.

### **Notes on using a Cross Claim Technical Amendment document:**

#### **Green Star – Communities Assessment**

The identification of Cross Claim credits has no impact on the Green Star – Communities assessment.

#### **Individual Green Star – Design & As Built Assessment**

It is important to note that there must be a current Green Star – Communities certification for a Green Star – Design & As Built project to utilise the Cross Claim approach for their submission.

Once the Green Star – Communities certification has been awarded and the credits or criterion identified for Cross Claim have been achieved, the project team can progress with the individual Design & As Built project submissions using the Cross Claim approach.

A Cross Claim Certification scorecard will need to be completed for each Green Star – Design & As Built project submitted. The Cross Claim Certification Scorecard will be released with the *Cross Claim Certification Technical Amendment* document.

Cross Claim Approach  
Issued 08/2016

A copy of the final approved version of the Cross Claim Certification Technical Amendment document is to be provided in the General section of each Individual Green Star – Design & As Built submission.

Please refer to Appendix A of this document for guidance on 'Individual Design & As Built Assessments' documentation requirements.

## APPENDIX A

The Appendix to this document is intended to be **an example** of the set out of the Documentation Requirements for the 'Individual Design & As Built Assessments'.

Once finalised, the Cross Claim Technical Amendment document will be used as the main guidance for the project team in compiling the relevant projects' Green Star submissions and for the Assessment Panel in completing the projects' assessments.

Green Star – Communities		Green Star – Design & As Built		Documentation Requirements for individual Green Star – Design & As Built submissions using Cross Claim	
No.	Credit Criteria	No.	Credit Criteria	Design Review Submission	As Built Submission
4.1	<p>Climate Adaptation</p> <p><b>2 points</b> are available where a <b>project-specific</b> Climate Adaptation Plan (CAP) has been developed.</p>	3.0	<p>Implementation of a Climate Adaptation Plan</p> <p><b>2 points</b> are available where:</p> <p><b>A project specific Climate Adaptation Plan has been developed in accordance with a recognized standard; and</b></p> <p><b>Solutions have been included into the building design and construction that specifically address the risk assessment component of the adaptation plan.</b></p>	<p>Where Credit Criterion 4.1 Climate Adaptation has been awarded in the <i>Green Star – Communities</i> certification Compliance Requirements <b>3.0.1 to 3.0.4</b> are deemed to be met.</p> <p><b>Documentation Requirements</b></p> <p><b>Submission Template</b> It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed.</p> <p><b>Supporting Documentation</b> For a Design Review submission <u>no Supporting Documentation is required.</u></p>	<p>Where Credit Criterion 4.1 Climate Adaptation has been awarded in the Green Star – Communities certification Compliance Requirements <b>3.0.1 to 3.0.4</b> are deemed to be met.</p> <p>For an As Built submission the project team is also required to demonstrate compliance in accordance with Compliance Requirement <b>3.0.5 Implementation of the Climate Adaptation Plan.</b></p> <p><b>Documentation Requirements</b></p> <p><b>Submission Template</b> Complete section <b>3.0.5</b> of the Submission Template.</p> <p><b>Supporting Documentation</b> Project teams shall provide documentation supporting credit compliance with Compliance Requirement <b>3.0.5</b>. The following documents may be used to demonstrate compliance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drawings and specifications demonstrating design responses to the Climate Adaptation Plan.</li> <li>• Commissioning report or other technical document demonstrating design responses to the Climate Adaptation Plan.</li> </ul>

Green Star – Communities		Green Star – Design & As Built		Documentation Requirements for individual Green Star – Design & As Built submissions using Cross Claim	
No.	Credit Criteria	No.	Credit Criteria	Design Review Submission	As Built Submission
8.1	<p>Environmental Management System</p> <p><b>1 point</b> is available where all contractors with a contract value of &gt; \$5 million have a valid ISO 14001 Environmental Management System (EMS) accreditation prior to and throughout the duration of the contract(s).</p>	7.1	<p>Formalised Environmental Management System</p> <p><b>1 point</b> is available where a formalized, systematic and methodical approach to planning, implementing and auditing is in place during construction, to ensure conformance with the EMP.</p>	<p>Where the Contractors are the same for the <i>Building Works and the Construction Works</i>, and Credit Criterion 8.1 <i>Environmental Management System</i> has been awarded in the Green Star – Communities certification, the Compliance Requirements for <b>7.1B Projects with a Contract Value Higher than \$10 Million</b> are deemed to be met.</p> <p><b>Documentation Requirements</b></p> <p><b>Submission Template</b> It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed on the basis that the Contractors are the same for the <i>Building Works and the Construction Works</i>.</p> <p><b>Supporting Documentation</b> For a Design Review submission <u>no Supporting Documentation is required.</u></p>	<p>Where the Contractors are the same for the <i>Building Works and the Construction Works</i>, and Credit Criterion <b>8.1 Environmental Management System</b> has been awarded in the <i>Green Star – Communities</i> certification there are two applicable pathways. Both are detailed below:</p> <p>i. Where the project team documented the credit with appropriate supporting documentation as listed under <b>After the Commencement of Construction</b> [please make reference to the <i>Green Star – Communities</i> Submission Guidelines], the Compliance Requirements for <b>7.1</b> (in accordance with <b>7.1B</b>) are deemed to be met.</p> <p><b>Documentation Requirements</b></p> <p><b>Submission Template</b> It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed on the basis that the Contractors are the same for the <i>Building Works and the Construction Works</i>.</p> <p><b>Supporting Documentation</b> For an As Built submission <u>no Supporting Documentation is required.</u></p> <hr/> <p>ii. Where the project team documented the credit with appropriate supporting documentation as listed under <b>Prior to the Commencement of Construction</b> [Please make reference to the <i>Green Star – Communities</i> Submission Guidelines], the Compliance Requirements for 7.1 (in accordance with 7.1B) are NOT deemed to be met.</p> <p>Where this is the case the project are required to document the credit as detailed in the <i>Green Star – Design &amp; As Built</i> Submission Guidelines.</p>

Green Star – Communities		Green Star – Design & As Built		Documentation Requirements for individual Green Star – Design & As Built submissions using Cross Claim	
No.	Credit Criteria	No.	Credit Criteria	Design Review Submission	As Built Submission
8.2	<p>Environmental Management Plan</p> <p><b>1 point</b> is available where the developer requires the development and implementation of a comprehensive, project-specific Environmental Management Plan (EMP) for <i>construction works</i>.</p>	7.0	<p>Environmental Management Plan</p> <p>To qualify for this credit, it is a minimum requirement that a comprehensive project-specific Environmental Management Plan (EMP) must be in place for construction.</p>	<p>Where the Scope of the EMP covers both <i>Building Works and Construction Works</i> (as defined in the Green Star – Communities Submission Guidelines), and Credit Criterion <b>8.2 Environmental Management Plan</b> has been awarded in the Green Star – Communities certification, the Compliance Requirements for <b>7.0</b> are deemed to be met.</p> <p><b>Documentation Requirements</b></p> <p><b>Submission Template</b> It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed on the basis that the Contractors are the same for the <i>Building Works and the Construction Works</i>.</p> <p><b>Supporting Documentation</b> For a Design Review submission <u>no Supporting Documentation is required.</u></p>	<p>Where the Scope of the EMP covers both <i>Building Works and the Construction Works</i> (as defined in the <i>Green Star – Communities Submission Guidelines</i>), and Credit Criterion 8.2 Environmental Management Plan has been awarded in the <i>Green Star – Communities</i> certification there are two applicable pathways. Both are detailed below:</p> <p>i. Where the project team documented the credit with appropriate supporting documentation as listed under <b>After the Commencement of Construction</b> [please make reference to the <i>Green Star – Communities Submission Guidelines</i>], the Compliance Requirements for 7.0 are deemed to be met.</p> <p><b>Documentation Requirements</b></p> <p><b>Submission Template</b> It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed on the basis that the Contractors are the same for the <i>Building Works and the Construction Works</i>.</p> <p><b>Supporting Documentation</b> For an As Built submission <u>no Supporting Documentation is required.</u></p> <hr/> <p>ii. Where the project team documented the credit with appropriate supporting documentation as listed under <b>Prior to the Commencement of Construction</b> [Please make reference to the <i>Green Star – Communities Submission Guidelines</i>], the Compliance Requirements for 7.0 are <b>NOT</b> deemed to be met.</p> <p>Where this is the case the project are required to document the credit as detailed in the <i>Green Star – Design &amp; As Built Submission Guidelines</i>.</p>

Green Star – Communities			Green Star – Design & As Built			Documentation Requirements for individual Green Star – Design & As Built submissions using Cross Claim	
No.	Credit Criteria		No.	Credit Criteria		Design Review Submission	As Built Submission
13.1	Walkable Access to Amenities	<b>2 points</b> are available where all habitable buildings on the project site have walkable access to a diverse number of amenities.	17B.5	Walkable Neighbourhoods	<b>1 point</b> is available where the project is located conveniently to amenities or the project achieves a specified walk score.	Where Credit Criterion <b>13.1 Walkable Access to Amenities</b> has been awarded in the <i>Green Star – Communities</i> certification the Compliance Requirements for <b>17B.5 Walkable Neighbourhoods (Pathway A)</b> are deemed to be met:  <b>Documentation Requirements</b>  <b>Submission Template</b> It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed.  <b>Supporting Documentation</b> For a Design Review and As Built submission <u>no Supporting Documentation is required.</u>	
23A	Reduced Peak Electricity Demand – Performance Pathway	<b>2 points</b> are available where it is demonstrated that the project's predicted peak electricity demand has been reduced by 25% when compared to that of a reference project.	16B	Modelled Performance Pathway – Reference Building	<b>Up to 2 points</b> are available where it is demonstrated that the project's predicted peak electricity demand has been reduced below that of a Reference Building: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 0-10%: 0 point</li><li>• 20% : 1 point</li><li>• 30%: 2 points</li></ul>	Where Credit Criterion <b>23A Reduced Peak Electricity Demand – Performance Pathway</b> has been awarded in the <i>Green Star – Communities</i> certification the Compliance Requirements for <b>16B Modelled Performance Pathway – Reference Building</b> are deemed to be met for the award of <b>1.5 points</b> .  <b>Documentation Requirements</b>  <b>Submission Template</b> It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed.  <b>Supporting Documentation</b> For a Design Review and As Built submission <u>no Supporting Documentation is required.</u>  <b>N.B. where a project is seeking to be awarded the full 2 points the project are required to document the credit as detailed in the <i>Green Star – Design &amp; As Built Submission Guidelines</i>.</b>	
23B	On-site Generation – Prescriptive Pathway	<b>2 points</b> are available where at least 30% of the annual electrical energy needs of the project are met through on-site power generation systems.	16A	Prescriptive Pathway On-site Energy Generation	<b>1 out of 2 points</b> are available where it is demonstrated that the use of on-site electricity generation systems reduces the total peak electricity demand by at least 15%	Where Credit Criterion <b>23B On-site Generation – Prescriptive Pathway</b> has been awarded in the <i>Green Star – Communities</i> certification the Compliance Requirements for <b>16A Prescriptive Pathway On-site Energy Generation</b> are deemed to be met for the award of <b>1 point</b> .  <b>Documentation Requirements</b>  <b>Submission Template</b> It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed.  <b>Supporting Documentation</b> For a <b>Design Review</b> and <b>As Built</b> submission <u>no Supporting Documentation is required.</u>	

28.0	Conditional Requirement	It is a Conditional Requirement for obtaining a Green Star – Communities certified rating that a project, that needs approval from the Australian Government environment minister under the EPBC Act for any proposed action, be granted an approval under that act. Where a project site is not subject to EPBC Act approval, the project is deemed to comply with this Conditional Requirement.	24.0	Conditional Requirement	The Conditional Requirement is met where, at the date of site purchase or date of option contract, the project site did not include old growth forest or wetland of 'High National Importance', or did not impact on 'Matters of National Significance'.	Where Credit Criterion <b>28.0 Conditional Requirement</b> has been met in the <i>Green Star – Communities</i> certification there are two applicable pathways. Both are detailed below:	i. Where the the project that is the subject of the Green Star – Design & As Built individual rating does not impact on any wetland listed as being 'High National Importance' the Compliance Requirements for <b>24.0 Conditional Requirement</b> are deemed to be met.	<b>Documentation Requirements</b>	<b>Submission Template</b>	It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed.	<b>Supporting Documentation</b>	For a <b>Design Review</b> submission <u>no Supporting Documentation is required.</u>	ii. Where the the project that is the subject of the Green Star – Design & As Built individual rating <b>impacts</b> any wetland listed as being 'High National Importance' the Compliance Requirements for <b>24.0 Conditional Requirement</b> are deemed to be met where the project team can demonstrate that the appropriate specified Wetland Protection Measures as detailed in the Green Star – Design & As Built Submission Guidelines are in place.	<b>Documentation Requirements</b>	<b>Submission Template</b>	It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed.	<b>Supporting Documentation</b>	For a <b>Design Review</b> submission <u>no Supporting Documentation is required.</u>	Where Credit Criterion <b>28.0 Conditional Requirement</b> has been met in the <i>Green Star – Communities</i> certification there are two applicable pathways. Both are detailed below:	i. Where the the project that is the subject of the Green Star – Design & As Built individual rating does not impact on any wetland listed as being 'High National Importance' the Compliance Requirements for <b>24.0 Conditional Requirement</b> are deemed to be met.	<b>Documentation Requirements</b>	<b>Submission Template</b>	It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed.	<b>Supporting Documentation</b>	For an <b>As Built</b> submission <u>no Supporting Documentation is required.</u>	ii. Where the the project that is the subject of the Green Star – Design & As Built individual rating <b>impacts</b> any wetland listed as being 'High National Importance' the Compliance Requirements for <b>24.0 Conditional Requirement</b> are deemed to be met where the project team can demonstrate that the appropriate specified Wetland Protection Measures as detailed in the <i>Green Star – Design &amp; As Built</i> Submission Guidelines are in place.	<b>Documentation Requirements</b>	<b>Submission Template</b>	It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed and all items in the Submission Template related to Wetland Assessment and Protection must be addressed.	<b>Supporting Documentation</b>	The project team is required to provide documentation to support the claims in the Submission Template with regard to Wetland Assessment and Protection. For Example:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Wetland Management Plan</li> </ul>
------	-------------------------	---	------	-------------------------	--	--	--	-----------------------------------	----------------------------	---	---------------------------------	---	--	-----------------------------------	----------------------------	---	---------------------------------	---	--	--	-----------------------------------	----------------------------	---	---------------------------------	---	---	-----------------------------------	----------------------------	---	---------------------------------	---	---

Green Star – Communities			Green Star – Design & As Built			Documentation Requirements for individual Green Star – Design & As Built submissions using Cross Claim	
No.	Credit Criteria		No.	Credit Criteria		Design Review Submission	As Built Submission
28.1	Previously Developed Land	<b>1 point</b> is available where 75% of the project site comprises <i>previously developed land</i> .	24.1	Reuse of Land	<b>1 point</b> is available where 75% of the site was Previously Developed Land at the date of site purchase or (for previously owned land) at the project's Green Star registration date.	<p>Where Credit Criterion <b>28.1 Previously Developed Land</b> has been awarded in the <i>Green Star – Communities</i> certification the Compliance Requirements for <b>24.1 Reuse of Land</b> are deemed to be met.</p> <p><b>Documentation Requirements</b></p> <p><b>Submission Template</b> It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed.</p> <p><b>Supporting Documentation</b> For a <b>Design Review</b> and <b>As Built</b> submission <u>no Supporting Documentation is required</u>.</p>	
28.2	Best Practice Site Decontamination	<b>1 point</b> is available where the site contains <i>significant contamination</i> , such that the uses in the proposed development would have been precluded, and the developer has adopted <i>best practice remediation</i> strategies as detailed to secure development permission for the project.	24.2	Contamination and Hazardous Materials	<b>1 point</b> is available where the site, or an existing building, was previously contaminated and the site has been remediated in accordance with a best practice remediation strategy.	<p>Where Credit Criterion <b>28.2 Best Practice Site Decontamination</b> has been awarded in the Green Star – Communities certification the Compliance Requirements for <b>24.2A Site Contamination</b> are deemed to be met where it can be demonstrated that the project that is the subject of the <i>Green Star - Design &amp; As Built</i> individual rating is within or part of a plot designated as being significantly contaminated.</p> <p><b>Documentation Requirements</b></p> <p><b>Submission Template</b> It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed.</p> <p><b>Supporting Documentation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <b>Contamination Report OR Site Assessment</b> clearly demonstrating that the project that is the subject of the <i>Green Star - Design &amp; As Built</i> individual rating is within or part of a plot designated as being significantly contaminated.</li> </ul>	<p>Where Credit Criterion <b>28.2 Best Practice Site Decontamination</b> has been awarded in the <i>Green Star – Communities</i> certification and it can be demonstrated that the project that is the subject of the <i>Green Star - Design &amp; As Built</i> individual rating is within or part of a plot designated as being significantly contaminated there are two applicable pathways. Both are detailed below:</p> <p>i. Where the project team documented the credit with appropriate supporting documentation as listed under <b>After Construction</b> [Please refer to the <i>Green Star – Communities</i> Submission Guidelines] the Compliance Requirements for <b>24.2A Site Contamination</b> are deemed to be met.</p> <p><b>Documentation Requirements</b></p> <p><b>Submission Template</b> It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed.</p> <p><b>Supporting Documentation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <b>Contamination Report OR Site Assessment</b> clearly demonstrating that the project site that is the subject of the <i>Green Star - Design &amp; As Built</i> individual rating is within or part of a plot designated as being significantly contaminated.</li> </ul> <hr/> <p>ii. Where the project team documented the credit with appropriate supporting documentation as listed under Prior to Construction [Please refer to the <i>Green Star – Communities</i> Submission Guidelines].the Compliance Requirements for <b>24.2A Site Contamination</b> are <b>NOT</b></p>

Green Star – Communities		Green Star – Design & As Built		Documentation Requirements for individual Green Star – Design & As Built submissions using Cross Claim	
No.	Credit Criteria	No.	Credit Criteria	Design Review Submission	As Built Submission
					<p>deemed to be fully met. The project are required to demonstrate that the site has been correctly and appropriately decontaminated.</p> <p><b>Documentation Requirements</b></p> <p><b>Submission Template</b> It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed.</p> <p><b>Supporting Documentation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Contamination Report OR Site Assessment</b> clearly demonstrating that the project site that is the subject of the <i>Green Star - Design &amp; As Built</i> individual rating is within or part of a plot designated as being significantly contaminated; <b>and</b></li> <li>• <b>A certificate</b> from the environmental auditor or relevant authority confirming that the site has been correctly and appropriately decontaminated in accordance with the relevant state and federal legislation, dated prior to the commencement of the construction phase of the project.</li> </ul>

Green Star – Communities		Green Star – Design & As Built		Documentation Requirements for individual Green Star – Design & As Built submissions using Cross Claim	
No.	Credit Criteria	No.	Credit Criteria	Design Review Submission	As Built Submission
31.1	Heat Island Effect <b>1 point</b> is available if at least 50% of the total project site area, in plan view, comprises building or landscaping elements that reduce the impact of heat island effect.	25.0	Heat Island Effect Reduction <b>1 point</b> is available where at least 75% of the total project site area comprises building or landscaping elements that reduce the impact of the heat island effect.	<p>Where Credit Criterion <b>31.1 Heat Island Effect</b> has been awarded in the <i>Green Star – Communities</i> certification the Compliance Requirements for <b>25.0 Heat Island Effect Reduction</b> are deemed to be met where the project can demonstrate how the <b>building</b> contributes to the site wide approach to reducing the impact of heat island effect.</p> <p><b>Documentation Requirements</b></p> <p><b>Submission Template</b> It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed and how the building contributes to the site wide approach to reducing the impact of heat island effect.</p> <p><b>Supporting Documentation</b> For a <b>Design Review</b> submission <u>no Supporting Documentation is required.</u></p>	<p>Where Credit Criterion <b>31.1 Heat Island Effect</b> has been awarded in the <i>Green Star – Communities</i> certification the Compliance Requirements for <b>25.0 Heat Island Effect Reduction</b> are deemed to be met where the project can demonstrate how the <b>building</b> contributes to the site wide approach to reducing the impact of heat island effect.</p> <p><b>Documentation Requirements</b></p> <p><b>Submission Template</b> It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed and how the building contributes to the site wide approach to reducing the impact of heat island effect.</p> <p><b>Supporting Documentation</b> The project team is required to provide documentation to support the claims in the Submission Template with regard to how the building contributes to the site wide approach to reducing the impact of heat island effect. For example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Supplier Documentation.</b> Material data sheets for compliant roofing materials highlighting the three year or initial SRI for the product, as applicable.</li> </ul>

Green Star – Communities		Green Star – Design & As Built		Documentation Requirements for individual Green Star – Design & As Built submissions using Cross Claim	
No.	Credit Criteria	No.	Credit Criteria	Design Review Submission	As Built Submission
24A.0	<p>Minimum Requirement - Stormwater</p> <p>To be eligible for points for this performance pathway the project must meet all of the following minimum requirements for stormwater:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>75% of the total annual stormwater runoff is evaporated or retained within the project site, via both harvesting and infiltration to achieve a similar frequency of flow to pre-development conditions;</li> <li>The post-development peak 1 year Average Recurrence Interval (ARI) event discharge from the project site does not exceed the pre-development peak 1 year ARI event discharge; and</li> <li>The quantity of key pollutants discharged in site stormwater is limited, based on the percentage reduction of sediment, phosphorus, nitrogen, and litter in project runoff when compared to untreated runoff.</li> </ul>	26.1	<p>Reduced Peak Discharge</p> <p><b>1 point</b> is available where the post-development peak event discharge from the site does not exceed the pre-development peak event discharge.</p>	<p>Where Credit Criterion <b>24A.0 Minimum Requirement – Stormwater</b> has been met in the <i>Green Star – Communities</i> certification there are two applicable pathways. Both are detailed below:</p> <p>i. Where the project site has a climate change Scenario that identifies there is a <b>low risk</b> of increased rainfall and/or flooding the Compliance Requirements for <b>26.1 Reduced Peak Discharge</b> are deemed to be met.</p> <p><b>Documentation Requirements</b></p> <p><b>Submission Template</b> It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed.</p> <p><b>Supporting Documentation</b> For a Design Review and an As Built submission <u>no Supporting Documentation is required.</u></p> <hr/> <p>ii. Where the project site has a climate change Scenario that identifies there is a high risk of increased rainfall and/or flooding <b>the project team are required to document the credit for a 5 year ARI as detailed in the <i>Green Star – Design &amp; As Built Submission Guidelines</i>.</b></p>	

Green Star – Communities			Green Star – Design & As Built			Documentation Requirements for individual Green Star – Design & As Built submissions using Cross Claim	
No.	Credit Criteria		No.	Credit Criteria		Design Review Submission	As Built Submission
24A.0	Minimum Requirement - Stormwater	To be eligible for points for this performance pathway the project must meet all of the following minimum requirements for stormwater: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The post-development peak Average Recurrence Interval (ARI) event discharge from the project site does not exceed the pre-development peak ARI event discharge; and</li> <li>The quantity of key pollutants discharged in site stormwater is limited, based on the percentage reduction of sediment, phosphorus, nitrogen, and litter in project runoff when compared to untreated runoff.</li> </ul>	26.2	Reduced Pollution Targets	<b>1 additional point</b> is available, where the first point has been achieved and all stormwater discharged from site meets specified Pollution Reduction Targets.	Where Credit Criterion <b>24A.0 Minimum Requirement – Stormwater</b> has been met in the <i>Green Star – Communities</i> the Compliance Requirements for <b>26.2 Reduced Pollution Targets</b> are deemed to be met  <b>Documentation Requirements</b>  <b>Submission Template</b> It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed.  <b>Supporting Documentation</b> For a Design Review and an As Built submission <u>no Supporting Documentation is required.</u>	
24B.4	Stormwater Peak Discharge	<b>1 point</b> is available where the post-development peak Average Recurrence Interval (ARI) event discharge from the project site does not exceed the pre-development peak ARI event discharge.	26.1	Reduced Peak Discharge	<b>1 point</b> is available where the post-development peak event discharge from the site does not exceed the pre-development peak event discharge.	Where Credit Criterion <b>24B.4 Stormwater Peak Discharge</b> has been awarded in the <i>Green Star – Communities</i> certification there are two applicable pathways. Both are detailed below:  i. Where the project site has a climate change Scenario that identifies there is a <b>low risk</b> of increased rainfall and/or flooding the Compliance Requirements for <b>26.1 Reduced Peak Discharge</b> are deemed to be met.  <b>Documentation Requirements</b>  <b>Submission Template</b> It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed.  <b>Supporting Documentation</b> For a Design Review and an As Built submission <u>no Supporting Documentation is required.</u>  ii. Where the project site has a climate change Scenario that identifies there is a high risk of increased rainfall and/or flooding <b>the project team are required to document the credit for a 5 year ARI as detailed in the <i>Green Star – Design &amp; As Built Submission Guidelines</i>.</b>	

Green Star – Communities			Green Star – Design & As Built			Documentation Requirements for individual Green Star – Design & As Built submissions using Cross Claim	
No.	Credit Criteria		No.	Credit Criteria		Design Review Submission	As Built Submission
24B.5	Stormwater Quality	1 point is available where receiving water quality is protected by limiting the quantity of key pollutants discharged in stormwater. This is based on a percentage reduction of sediment, phosphorus, nitrogen, and litter compared to untreated runoff.	26.2	Reduced Pollution Targets	1 additional point is available, where the first point has been achieved and all stormwater discharged from site meets specified Pollution Reduction Targets.	<p>Where Credit Criterion <b>24B.5 Stormwater Quality</b> has been met in the <i>Green Star – Communities</i> the Compliance Requirements for <b>26.2 Reduced Pollution Targets</b> are deemed to be met</p> <p><b>Documentation Requirements</b></p> <p><b>Submission Template</b> It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed.</p> <p><b>Supporting Documentation</b> For a Design Review and an As Built submission <u>no Supporting Documentation is required.</u></p> <p><b>N.B. The project team should note that the additional innovation point for achieving the Pollution Reduction Targets from Column B as detailed in the <i>Green Star – Design &amp; As Built Submission Guidelines</i> are not available to projects using the cross claim approach. This would require documentation of those targets at the building scale.</b></p>	
27B.1	Shared Pooled or Common Use Parking: Prescriptive Pathway	One (1) point is awarded where a shared, pooled, or common use parking regime is implemented for a minimum of 25% of the total on-site parking supply (excluding detached housing), demonstrated in accordance with 27B.1.	17B.2	Reduced Car Parking	1 point is available where there is a reduction in the number of car parking spaces in the proposed building when compared to a standard-practice building.	<p>Where Credit Criterion <b>27B.1 Shared Pooled or Common Use Parking</b> has been awarded in the <i>Green Star – Communities</i> certification the Compliance Requirements for <b>17B.2 Reduced Car Parking</b> are deemed to be met where the project can demonstrate how the <b>building</b> contributes to the site wide approach to shared, pooled, or common use parking.</p> <p><b>Documentation Requirements</b></p> <p><b>Submission Template</b> It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed and how the building contributes to the site wide approach to shared, pooled, or common use parking.</p> <p><b>Supporting Documentation</b> For a <b>Design Review</b> submission <u>no Supporting Documentation is required.</u></p>	<p>Where Credit Criterion <b>27B.1 Shared Pooled or Common Use Parking</b> has been awarded in the <i>Green Star – Communities</i> certification the Compliance Requirements for <b>17B.2 Reduced Car Parking</b> are deemed to be met where the project can demonstrate how the <b>building</b> contributes to the site wide approach to shared, pooled, or common use parking.</p> <p><b>Documentation Requirements</b></p> <p><b>Submission Template</b> It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed and how the building contributes to the site wide approach to shared, pooled, or common use parking.</p> <p><b>Supporting Documentation</b> The project team is required to provide documentation to support the claims in the Submission Template with regard to how the building contributes to the site wide approach to shared, pooled, or common use parking. For example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As Built site plan indicating all parking in the project site that is the subject of the <i>Green Star – Design &amp; As Built</i> certification, and stating which contribute to the site wide approach to shared, pooled, or common use parking.</li> </ul>

Green Star – Communities			Green Star – Design & As Built			Documentation Requirements for individual Green Star – Design & As Built submissions using Cross Claim	
No.	Credit Criteria		No.	Credit Criteria		Design Review Submission	As Built Submission
27B.2	Public Transport Access: Prescriptive Pathway	Up to (1) point is awarded based on the frequency and accessibility of existing or <i>planned public transport</i> , in relation to the proportion of habitable buildings within the calculated catchment of an eligible public transport stop, demonstrated in accordance with 27B.2.	17B.1	Access by Public Transport.	<b>Up to 3 points</b> are available based on the accessibility of the site by public transport.	Where Credit Criterion <b>27B.2 Public Transport Access: Prescriptive Pathway</b> has been awarded in the <i>Green Star – Communities</i> certification the project the Compliance Requirements for <b>17B.1 Access by Public Transport</b> are deemed to be met for the award of <b>1 point(s)</b> .	
						<b>Documentation Requirements</b>	
						<b>Submission Template</b>	
						It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed.	
						<b>Supporting Documentation</b>	
						For a <b>Design Review and As Built</b> submission <u>no Supporting Documentation is required</u> .	
						<b>N.B. Should the project team wish to target more than 1 point the project team are required to document the credit as detailed in the <i>Green Star – Design &amp; As Built</i> Submission Guidelines.</b>	