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Green Star - Communities and Green Star - Design & As Built
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PURPOSE
The purpose of this document is to outline the Cross Claim approach for buildings located within the boundary of a Green Star – Communities project seeking to be assessed under the Green Star – Design & As Built rating tool.

INTRODUCTION
This Cross Claim approach has been developed for project teams given that there are commonalities in the sustainability attributes that have been certified at a site wide level that are applicable at a building level. Where these commonalities apply the Cross Claim approach is intended to help streamline the buildings’ Green Star – Design & As Built certification.

The results of the Green Star – Communities assessment are applicable to all buildings located within the boundary of the Green Star – Communities project.

RATING TOOL VERSIONS
It should be noted that the appendix to this document has been written making reference to the Green Star – Communities v1.1 Submission Guidelines and the Green Star – Design & As Built v1.1 Submission Guidelines. Due to the nature of minor rating tool releases this approach is intended for use until such time as either rating tool undergoes a major rating tool release resulting in a v2.0 of either rating tool. If, at the time of the major release, there are remaining projects still to be registered for a Green Star – Design & As Built rating the content of the document will need to be reviewed and updated as appropriate.
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APPROACH

Detailed below are the stages required for the development of the Cross Claim approach for a project.

Stage 1: Registration
Registration for Green Star – Communities and at least 1 Green Star – Design & As Built project

Stage 2: Cross Claim Workshop
This workshop will define which Green Star – Communities credits or criterion can have an element(s) that are applicable at a building scale. The key at this point is to try and find commonalities, not exclusions. It is important to understand that the process or design feature does not need to be applied to every individual building project to be considered a Credit or Credit Criterion that could be cross claimed.

Stage 3: Defining Documentation Requirements
For each of the Cross Claim Credits that will be applied at the Individual Green Star – Design & As Built Project Assessment stage, in lieu of full documentation requirements supporting a credit, documentation will need to be established and formalised. This will ensure that the outcomes approved at the Green Star – Communities project scale are realised at the individual building project stage.

The outcome of this process will be:

- A Cross Claim Certification Technical Amendment document. This document clearly outlines which credits have been identified as ‘Cross Claim’ credits and what will be required for submission for the individual Green Star – Design & As Built Project Assessments (Design Review and As Built). and
- A Cross Claim Certification Scorecard.

Notes on using a Cross Claim Technical Amendment document:

Green Star – Communities Assessment
The identification of Cross Claim credits has no impact on the Green Star – Communities assessment.

Individual Green Star – Design & As Built Assessment
It is important to note that there must be a current Green Star – Communities certification for a Green Star – Design & As Built project to utilise the Cross Claim approach for their submission.

Once the Green Star – Communities certification has been awarded and the credits or criterion identified for Cross Claim have been achieved, the project team can progress with the individual Design & As Built project submissions using the Cross Claim approach.

A Cross Claim Certification scorecard will need to be completed for each Green Star – Design & As Built project submitted. The Cross Claim Certification Scorecard will be released with the Cross Claim Certification Technical Amendment document.
A copy of the final approved version of the Cross Claim Certification Technical Amendment document is to be provided in the General section of each Individual Green Star – Design & As Built submission.

Please refer to Appendix A of this document for guidance on ‘Individual Design & As Built Assessments’ documentation requirements.

APPENDIX A

The Appendix to this document is intended to be an example of the set out of the Documentation Requirements for the ‘Individual Design & As Built Assessments’.

Once finalised, the Cross Claim Technical Amendment document will be used as the main guidance for the project team in compiling the relevant projects’ Green Star submissions and for the Assessment Panel in completing the projects’ assessments.
### Documentation Requirements for individual Green Star – Design & As Built submissions using Cross Claim

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Green Star – Communities</th>
<th>Green Star – Design &amp; As Built</th>
<th>Documentation Requirements for individual Green Star – Design &amp; As Built submissions using Cross Claim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Credit Criteria</td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Climate Adaptation</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Supporting Documentation**

For a Design Review submission no Supporting Documentation is required.
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**Green Star – Communities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Credit Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>Environmental Management System</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 8.1 Environmental Management System

1 point is available where all contractors with a contract value of > $5 million have a valid ISO 14001 Environmental Management System (EMS) accreditation prior to and throughout the duration of the contract(s).

### Green Star – Design & As Built

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Credit Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>Formalised Environmental Management System</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 7.1 Formalised Environmental Management System

1 point is available where a formalized, systematic and methodical approach to planning, implementing and auditing is in place during construction, to ensure conformance with the EMP.

### Documentation Requirements for Individual Green Star – Design & As Built Submissions Using Cross Claim

#### Design Review Submission

Where the Contractors are the same for the Building Works and the Construction Works, and Credit Criterion 8.1 Environmental Management System has been awarded in the Green Star – Communities certification, the Compliance Requirements for 7.1B Projects with a Contract Value Higher than $10 Million are deemed to be met.

**Documentation Requirements**

**Submission Template**

It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed on the basis that the Contractors are the same for the Building Works and the Construction Works.

**Supporting Documentation**

For a Design Review submission no Supporting Documentation is required.

#### As Built Submission

Where the Contractors are the same for the Building Works and the Construction Works, and Credit Criterion 8.1 Environmental Management System has been awarded in the Green Star – Communities certification there are two applicable pathways. Both are detailed below:

1. Where the project team documented the credit with appropriate supporting documentation as listed under After the Commencement of Construction [please make reference to the Green Star – Communities Submission Guidelines], the Compliance Requirements for 7.1 (in accordance with 7.1B) are deemed to be met.

**Documentation Requirements**

**Submission Template**

It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed on the basis that the Contractors are the same for the Building Works and the Construction Works.

**Supporting Documentation**

For an As Built submission no Supporting Documentation is required.

2. Where the project team documented the credit with appropriate supporting documentation as listed under Prior to the Commencement of Construction [Please make reference to the Green Star – Communities Submission Guidelines], the Compliance Requirements for 7.1 (in accordance with 7.1B) are NOT deemed to be met.

Where this is the case the project are required to document the credit as detailed in the Green Star – Design & As Built Submission Guidelines.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Green Star – Communities</th>
<th>Green Star – Design &amp; As Built</th>
<th>Documentation Requirements for individual Green Star – Design &amp; As Built submissions using Cross Claim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Credit Criteria</td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>Environmental Management Plan</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Supporting Documentation**

For a Design Review submission no Supporting Documentation is required.

ii. Where the project team documented the credit with appropriate supporting documentation as listed under Prior to the Commencement of Construction [Please make reference to the Green Star – Communities Submission Guidelines], the Compliance Requirements for 7.0 are NOT deemed to be met.

Where this is the case the project are required to document the credit as detailed in the Green Star – Design & As Built Submission Guidelines.
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### Green Star – Communities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Credit Criteria</th>
<th>Green – Design &amp; As Built</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>Walkable Access to Amenities</td>
<td>2 points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Credit Criteria</th>
<th>Green – Design &amp; As Built</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17B.5</td>
<td>Walkable Neighbourhoods</td>
<td>1 point</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where Credit Criterion 13.1 Walkable Access to Amenities has been awarded in the Green Star – Communities certification the Compliance Requirements for 17B.5 Walkable Neighbourhoods (Pathway A) are deemed to be met:

### Documentation Requirements

**Submission Template**

It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed.

**Supporting Documentation**

For a Design Review and As Built submission no Supporting Documentation is required.

---

### Green Star – Design & As Built

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Credit Criteria</th>
<th>Green – Design &amp; As Built</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23A</td>
<td>Reduced Peak Electricity Demand – Performance Pathway</td>
<td>2 points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Credit Criteria</th>
<th>Green – Design &amp; As Built</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16B</td>
<td>Modelled Performance Pathway – Reference Building</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where Credit Criterion 23A Reduced Peak Electricity Demand – Performance Pathway has been awarded in the Green Star – Communities certification the Compliance Requirements for 16B Modelled Performance Pathway – Reference Building are deemed to be met for the award of 1.5 points.

### Documentation Requirements

**Submission Template**

It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed.

**Supporting Documentation**

For a Design Review and As Built submission no Supporting Documentation is required.

### On-site Energy Generation – Prescriptive Pathway

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Credit Criteria</th>
<th>Green – Design &amp; As Built</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23B</td>
<td>On-site Generation</td>
<td>2 points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Credit Criteria</th>
<th>Green – Design &amp; As Built</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16A</td>
<td>Prescriptive Pathway On-site Energy Generation</td>
<td>1 out of 2 points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where Credit Criterion 23B On-site Generation – Prescriptive Pathway has been awarded in the Green Star – Communities certification the Compliance Requirements for 16A Prescriptive Pathway On-site Energy Generation are deemed to be met for the award of 1 point.

### Documentation Requirements

**Submission Template**

It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed.

**Supporting Documentation**

For a Design Review and As Built submission no Supporting Documentation is required.
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It is a Conditional Requirement for obtaining a Green Star – Communities certified rating that a project, that needs approval from the Australian Government environment minister under the EPBC Act for any proposed action, be granted an approval under that act. Where a project site is not subject to EPBC Act approval, the project is deemed to comply with this Conditional Requirement.

The Conditional Requirement is met where, at the date of site purchase or date of option contract, the project site did not include old growth forest or wetland of ‘High National Importance’, or did not impact on ‘Matters of National Significance’.

Where Credit Criterion 28.0 Conditional Requirement has been met in the Green Star – Communities certification there are two applicable pathways. Both are detailed below:

i. Where the the project that is the subject of the Green Star – Design & As Built individual rating does not impact on any wetland listed as being ‘High National Importance’ the Compliance Requirements for 24.0 Conditional Requirement are deemed to be met.

Documentation Requirements
Submission Template
It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed.

Supporting Documentation
For an As Built submission no Supporting Documentation is required.

ii. Where the the project that is the subject of the Green Star – Design & As Built individual rating impacts any wetland listed as being ‘High National Importance’ the Compliance Requirements for 24.0 Conditional Requirement are deemed to be met where the project team can demonstrate that the appropriate specified Wetland Protection Measures as detailed in the Green Star – Design & As Built Submission Guidelines are in place.

Documentation Requirements
Submission Template
It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed.

Supporting Documentation
For a Design Review submission no Supporting Documentation is required.

The project team is required to provide documentation to support the claims in the Submission Template with regard to Wetland Assessment and Protection. For Example:

- Wetland Management Plan
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Credit Criteria</th>
<th>Green Star – Communities</th>
<th>Green Star – Design &amp; As Built</th>
<th>Documentation Requirements for individual Green Star – Design &amp; As Built submissions using Cross Claim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>Previously Developed Land</td>
<td>24.1 Reuse of Land</td>
<td>1 point is available where 75% of the site comprises previously developed land.</td>
<td>Design Review Submission: Where Credit Criterion 28.1 Previously Developed Land has been awarded in the Green Star – Communities certification the Compliance Requirements for 24.1 Reuse of Land are deemed to be met. Documentation Requirements: It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed. Supporting Documentation: For a Design Review and As Built submission no Supporting Documentation is required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>Best Practice Site Decontamination</td>
<td>24.2 Contamination and Hazardous Materials</td>
<td>1 point is available where the site contains significant contamination, such that the uses in the proposed development would have been precluded, and the developer has adopted best practice remediation strategies as detailed to secure development permission for the project.</td>
<td>Where Credit Criterion 28.2 Best Practice Site Decontamination has been awarded in the Green Star – Communities certification and it can be demonstrated that the project that is the subject of the Green Star - Design &amp; As Built individual rating is within or part of a plot designated as being significantly contaminated there are two applicable pathways. Both are detailed below: i. Where the project team documented the credit with appropriate supporting documentation as listed under After Construction [Please refer to the Green Star – Communities Submission Guidelines] the Compliance Requirements for 24.2A Site Contamination are deemed to be met. Documentation Requirements: Submission Template It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed. Supporting Documentation: ● Contamination Report OR Site Assessment clearly demonstrating that the project that is the subject of the Green Star - Design &amp; As Built individual rating is within or part of a plot designated as being significantly contaminated. ii. Where the project team documented the credit with appropriate supporting documentation as listed under Prior to Construction [Please refer to the Green Star – Communities Submission Guidelines], the Compliance Requirements for 24.2A Site Contamination are NOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Credit Criteria</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Credit Criteria</td>
<td>Design Review Submission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Documentation Requirements**

**Submission Template**

It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed.

**Supporting Documentation**

- **Contamination Report OR Site Assessment** clearly demonstrating that the project site that is the subject of the Green Star - Design & As Built individual rating is within or part of a plot designated as being significantly contaminated; and
- **A certificate** from the environmental auditor or relevant authority confirming that the site has been correctly and appropriately decontaminated in accordance with the relevant state and federal legislation, dated prior to the commencement of the construction phase of the project.
### 31.1 Heat Island Effect

**Design Review Submission**

1 point is available if at least 50% of the total project site area, in plan view, comprises building or landscaping elements that reduce the impact of heat island effect.

**As Built Submission**

Where Credit Criterion 31.1 Heat Island Effect has been awarded in the Green Star – Communities certification the Compliance Requirements for 25.0 Heat Island Effect Reduction are deemed to be met where the project can demonstrate how the building contributes to the site wide approach to reducing the impact of heat island effect.

**Documentation Requirements**

**Submission Template**

It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed and how the building contributes to the site wide approach to reducing the impact of heat island effect.

**Supporting Documentation**

For a Design Review submission no Supporting Documentation is required.

---

**Supplier Documentation**

Material data sheets for compliant roofing materials highlighting the three year or initial SRI for the product, as applicable.
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#### Green Star – Communities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Credit Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24A.0</td>
<td>Minimum Requirement - Stormwater</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be eligible for points for this performance pathway the project must meet all of the following minimum requirements for stormwater:

- 75% of the total annual stormwater runoff is evaporated or retained within the project site, via both harvesting and infiltration to achieve a similar frequency of flow to pre-development conditions;
- The post-development peak 1 year Average Recurrence Interval (ARI) event discharge from the project site does not exceed the pre-development peak 1 year ARI event discharge; and
- The quantity of key pollutants discharged in site stormwater is limited, based on the percentage reduction of sediment, phosphorus, nitrogen, and litter in project runoff when compared to untreated runoff.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Credit Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>Reduced Peak Discharge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 point is available where the post-development peak event discharge from the site does not exceed the pre-development peak event discharge.

Where Credit Criterion 24A.0 Minimum Requirement – Stormwater has been met in the Green Star – Communities certification there are two applicable pathways. Both are detailed below:

#### Documentation Requirements for individual Green Star – Design & As Built submissions using Cross Claim

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design Review Submission</th>
<th>As Built Submission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### i. Where the project site has a climate change Scenario that identifies there is a low risk of increased rainfall and/or flooding the Compliance Requirements for 26.1 Reduced Peak Discharge are deemed to be met.

#### Documentation Requirements

#### Submission Template

It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed.

#### Supporting Documentation

For a Design Review and an As Built submission no Supporting Documentation is required.

#### ii. Where the project site has a climate change Scenario that identifies there is a high risk of increased rainfall and/or flooding the project team are required to document the credit for a 5 year ARI as detailed in the Green Star – Design & As Built Submission Guidelines.
### Green Star – Communities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Credit Criteria</th>
<th>Green Star – Design &amp; As Built</th>
<th>Documentation Requirements for individual Green Star – Design &amp; As Built submissions using Cross Claim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No. Credit Criteria</td>
<td>Design Review Submission</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 24A.0 Minimum Requirement - Stormwater | To be eligible for points for this performance pathway the project must meet all of the following minimum requirements for stormwater:  
  ● The post-development peak Average Recurrence Interval (ARI) event discharge from the project site does not exceed the pre-development peak ARI event discharge; and  
  ● The quantity of key pollutants discharged in site stormwater is limited, based on the percentage reduction of sediment, phosphorus, nitrogen, and litter in project runoff when compared to untreated runoff. | 26.2 Reduced Pollution Targets  
  1 additional point is available, where the first point has been achieved and all stormwater discharged from site meets specified Pollution Reduction Targets. | Where Credit Criterion 24A.0 Minimum Requirement – Stormwater has been met in the Green Star – Communities the Compliance Requirements for 26.2 Reduced Pollution Targets are deemed to be met. |
|     |                                                      |                                | Submission Template                                                                                       |
|     |                                                      |                                | It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed.     |
|     |                                                      |                                | Supporting Documentation                                                                                    |
|     |                                                      |                                | For a Design Review and an As Built submission **no Supporting Documentation is required**.                |
| 24B.4 Stormwater Peak Discharge  
  1 point is available where the post-development peak Average Recurrence Interval (ARI) event discharge from the project site does not exceed the pre-development peak ARI event discharge. | 26.1 Reduced Peak Discharge  
  1 point is available where the post-development peak event discharge from the site does not exceed the pre-development peak event discharge. | Where Credit Criterion 24B.4 Stormwater Peak Discharge has been awarded in the Green Star – Communities certification there are two applicable pathways. Both are detailed below:  
  i. Where the project site has a climate change Scenario that identifies there is a low risk of increased rainfall and/or flooding the Compliance Requirements for 26.1 Reduced Peak Discharge are deemed to be met.  
  Documentation Requirements  
  Submission Template  
  It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed.  
  Supporting Documentation  
  For a Design Review and an As Built submission **no Supporting Documentation is required**.  
  ii. Where the project site has a climate change Scenario that identifies there is a high risk of increased rainfall and/or flooding the project team are required to document the credit for a 5 year ARI as detailed in the Green Star – Design & As Built Submission Guidelines. |
### Example – Cross Claim Approach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Green Star – Communities Credit Criteria</th>
<th>Green Star – Design &amp; As Built Credit Criteria</th>
<th>Documentation Requirements for individual Green Star – Design &amp; As Built submissions using Cross Claim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>24B.5 Stormwater Quality</strong></td>
<td><strong>26.2 Reduced Pollution Targets</strong></td>
<td>Where Credit Criterion 24B.5 Stormwater Quality has been met in the Green Star – Communities the Compliance Requirements for 26.2 Reduced Pollution Targets are deemed to be met.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 point is available where receiving water quality is protected by limiting the quantity of key pollutants discharged in stormwater. This is based on a percentage reduction of sediment, phosphorus, nitrogen, and litter compared to untreated runoff.</td>
<td>1 additional point is available, where the first point has been achieved and all stormwater discharged from site meets specified Pollution Reduction Targets.</td>
<td>Documentation Requirements Submission Template It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>27B.1 Shared Pooled or Common Use Parking</strong></td>
<td><strong>17B.2 Reduced Car Parking</strong></td>
<td>Where Credit Criterion 27B.1 Shared Pooled or Common Use Parking has been awarded in the Green Star – Communities certification the Compliance Requirements for 17B.2 Reduced Car Parking are deemed to be met where the project can demonstrate how the building contributes to the site wide approach to shared, pooled, or common use parking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One (1) point is awarded where a shared, pooled, or common use parking regime is implemented for a minimum of 25% of the total on-site parking supply (excluding detached housing), demonstrated in accordance with 27B.1.</td>
<td>1 point is available where there is a reduction in the number of car parking spaces in the proposed building when compared to a standard-practice building.</td>
<td>Documentation Requirements Submission Template It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed and how the building contributes to the site wide approach to shared, pooled, or common use parking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Supporting Documentation</strong></td>
<td><strong>Supporting Documentation</strong></td>
<td>Supporting Documentation For a Design Review submission no Supporting Documentation is required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The project team is required to provide documentation to support the claims in the Submission Template with regard to how the building contributes to the site wide approach to shared, pooled, or common use parking. For example:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- As Built site plan indicating all parking in the project site that is the subject of the Green Star – Design &amp; As Built certification, and stating which contribute to the site wide approach to shared, pooled, or common use parking.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N.B. The project team should note that the additional innovation point for achieving the Pollution Reduction Targets from Column B as detailed in the Green Star – Design & As Built Submission Guidelines are not available to projects using the cross claim approach. This would require documentation of those targets at the building scale.
## 27B.2 Public Transport Access: Prescriptive Pathway

Up to (1) point is awarded based on the frequency and accessibility of existing or planned public transport, in relation to the proportion of habitable buildings within the calculated catchment of an eligible public transport stop, demonstrated in accordance with 27B.2.

**17B.1 Access by Public Transport.** Up to 3 points are available based on the accessibility of the site by public transport.

Where Credit Criterion 27B.2 Public Transport Access: Prescriptive Pathway has been awarded in the Green Star – Communities certification the project the Compliance Requirements for 17B.1 Access by Public Transport are deemed to be met for the award of 1 point(s).

### Documentation Requirements

**Submission Template**

It should be detailed in the Discussion Section for the credit criteria that it is being Cross Claimed.

**Supporting Documentation**

For a Design Review and As Built submission no Supporting Documentation is required.

N.B. Should the project team wish to target more than 1 point the project team are required to document the credit as detailed in the Green Star – Design & As Built Submission Guidelines.